

Operating System Concepts

Che-Wei Chang

chewei@mail.cgu.edu.tw

Department of Computer Science and Information Engineering, Chang Gung University

Contents

- 1. Introduction
- 2. System Structures
- 3. Process Concept
- 4. Multithreaded Programming
- 5. Process Scheduling
- 6. Synchronization
- 7. Deadlocks
- 8. Memory-Management Strategies
- 9. Virtual-Memory Management
- 10. File System
- 11. Implementing File Systems
- 12. Secondary-Storage Systems





Chapter 5. Process Scheduling

Objectives

- To introduce CPU scheduling, which is the basis for multi-programmed operating systems
- To describe various CPU-scheduling algorithms
- To discuss evaluation criteria for selecting a CPU scheduling algorithm for a particular system
- To examine the scheduling algorithms of several operating systems



Basic Concepts

- CPU–I/O Burst Cycle
 - Process execution consists of a cycle of CPU execution and I/O waiting
- Process Execution
 - CPU-bound programs tend to have a few very long CPU bursts
 - IO-bound programs tend to have many very short CPU bursts



Histogram of CPU-burst Times

• The distribution can help in selecting an appropriate CPU scheduling algorithms



© All Rights Reserved, Prof. Che-Wei Chang, Department of Computer Science and Information Engineering, Chang Gung University



CPU Scheduler

- Short-term scheduler selects a process among the processes in the ready queue, and allocates the CPU to the selected process
 - Queue may be ordered in various ways
- CPU scheduling decisions may take place when a process:
 - 1. Switches from running to waiting state
 - 2. Switches from running to ready state
 - 3. Switches from waiting to ready
 - 4. Terminates
- Scheduling under 1 and 4 is nonpreemptive
- All other scheduling is preemptive



Dispatcher

- Dispatcher module gives control of the CPU to the process selected by the short-term scheduler
 - switching context
 - switching to user mode
 - jumping to the proper location in the user program to resume that process
- Dispatch latency the time it takes for the dispatcher to stop one process and start another running



Scheduling Criteria

- ► Why?
 - Different scheduling algorithms may favor one class of processes over another
- Criteria
 - CPU Utilization
 - Throughput
 - Turnaround Time: (Completion Time) (Start Time)
 - Waiting Time: Waiting in the Ready Queue
 - Response Time: First Response Time



Scheduling Algorithms

- First-Come, First-Served Scheduling (FIFO)
- Shortest-Job-First Scheduling (SJF)
- Priority Scheduling
- Round-Robin Scheduling (RR)
- Multilevel Queue Scheduling
- Multilevel Feedback Queue Scheduling
- Multiple-Processor Scheduling



First-Come, First-Served (FCFS) Scheduling

- The process which requests the CPU first is allocated the CPU
- Properties:
 - Non-preemptive scheduling
 - CPU might be hold for an extended period





A Scheduling Example of FCFS (1/2)



• Suppose that the processes arrive in the order: P_1 , P_2 , P_3

P ₁		P_2	P_3	
0	24	2	7	30

- Waiting time for $P_1 = 0$; $P_2 = 24$; $P_3 = 27$
- Average waiting time: (0 + 24 + 27)/3 = 17

© All Rights Reserved, Prof. Che-Wei Chang, Department of Computer Science and Information Engineering, Chang Gung University



A Scheduling Example of FCFS (2/2)

- Suppose that the processes arrive in the order:
 P2, P3, P1
- The Gantt chart for the schedule is:



- Waiting time for $P_1 = 6$; $P_2 = 0$; $P_3 = 3$
- Average waiting time: (6+0+3)/3 = 3
- Convoy effect short processes behind long a process



Shortest–Job–First (SJF) Scheduling

Process	Burst Time
P_1	6
P_2	8
P_{3}	7
$\tilde{P_4}$	3

SJF scheduling chart

P ₄	P ₁	P ₃	P ₂
0	3	9 1	6 24

• Average waiting time = (3 + 16 + 9 + 0) / 4 = 7

© All Rights Reserved, Prof. Che-Wei Chang, Department of Computer Science and Information Engineering, Chang Gung University



SJF Scheduling Analysis

- Non-preemptive SJF scheduling is optimal when processes are all ready at time 0
 - The minimum average waiting time
- It is difficult to know the length of the next CPU request
 - Prediction of the next CPU burst time using exponential averaging
 - 1. t_n = actual length of n^{th} CPU burst
 - 2. τ_{n+1} = predicted value for the next CPU burst
 - 3. α , $0 \le \alpha \le 1$

4. Define :
$$\tau_{n=1} = \alpha t_n + (1 - \alpha) \tau_n$$



Preemptive SJF Scheduling

- Preemptive or Non-preemptive?
 - Criteria such as AWT (Average Waiting Time)



© All Rights Reserved, Prof. Che-Wei Chang, Department of Computer Science and Information Engineering, Chang Gung University

Priority Scheduling

- A priority number (integer) is associated with each process
- The CPU is allocated to the process with the highest priority
- Priority Assignment
 - Internally defined use some measurable quantity, such as the number of open files, <u>Average CPU Burst</u>
 <u>Average I/O Burst</u>
 - Externally defined set by criteria external to the OS, such as the criticality levels of jobs



A Scheduling Example with Priority Scheduling

Process	CPU Burst Time	Priority
P1	10	3
P2	1	1
P3	2	3
P4	1	4
P5	5	2

Gantt Graph

	P ₂	P ₅		P ₁	P ₃	P ₄	
0	1		6	1	6 1	8	19

Average waiting time = (6+0+16+18+1)/5 = 8.2

© All Rights Reserved, Prof. Che-Wei Chang, Department of Computer Science and Information Engineering, Chang Gung University



Issues of Priority Scheduling

- Problem: Starvation low priority processes may never execute
- Solution: Aging as time progresses increase the priority of the process
- A Special Case: SJF is priority scheduling where priority is the inverse of predicted next CPU burst time



Round Robin (RR) Scheduling

- Each process gets a small unit of CPU time (time quantum)
- After this time has elapsed, the process is preempted and added to the end of the ready queue
- If there are *n* processes in the ready queue and the time quantum is *q*
 - Each process gets 1/*n* of the CPU time in chunks of at most *q* time units at once
 - No process waits more than (*n*-1)*q* time units



A Scheduling Example of RR Scheduling

Process	CPU Burst Time	
P1	24	
P2	3	Time slice $= 4$
P3	3	



AWT = ((10-4) + (4-0) + (7-0))/3 = 17/3 = 5.66



Time Quantum and Context Switch





Issues of RR Scheduling

- Time quantum too large \rightarrow FIFO
- ► Time quantum too small → Time quantum must be large with respect to context switch time, otherwise overhead is too high
 - Time quantum usually 10 ms to 100ms
 - Context switch < 10 μs
- A rule of thumb is that 80 percent of the CPU bursts should be shorter than the time quantum



Issues of RR Scheduling — Turnaround Time



=> 80% CPU Burst < time slice

© All Rights Reserved, Prof. Che-Wei Chang, Department of Computer Science and Information Engineering, Chang Gung University



Multilevel Queue Scheduling

- Partition the ready queue into several separate queues
 - Processes can be classified into different groups and permanently assigned to one queue



© All Rights Reserved, Prof. Che-Wei Chang, Department of Computer Science and Information Engineering, Chang Gung University



Multilevel Queue Scheduling

- Intra-queue scheduling
 - Independent choice of scheduling algorithms
 - e. g., foreground RR, and background FCFS
- Inter-queue scheduling
 - Fixed-priority preemptive scheduling
 - e.g., foreground queues always have absolute priority over the background queues
 - Time slice between queues
 - e.g., 80% CPU is given to foreground processes, and 20% CPU to background processes



Multilevel Feedback Queue Scheduling

- A process can move between the various queues
 - Aging can be implemented this way
- Multilevel-feedback-queue scheduler defined by the following parameters:
 - number of queues
 - scheduling algorithms for each queue
 - method used to determine when to upgrade a process
 - method used to determine when to demote a process
 - The method to determine which queue a newly ready process will enter



An Example of Multilevel Feedback Queue

- Three queues:
 - $Q_0 RR$ with time quantum 8 milliseconds
 - $Q_1 RR$ time quantum 16 milliseconds
 - $Q_2 FCFS$
- Scheduling
 - Do jobs in Q_0 first and then Q_1 and then Q_2
 - A new job enters queue Q_0
 - When it gains CPU, job receives 8 milliseconds
 - If it does not finish in 8 milliseconds, job is moved to queue Q_1
 - At Q_1 each job receives 16 additional milliseconds
 - If it still does not complete, it is preempted and moved to queue Q_2





Thread Scheduling

- To run on a CPU, user threads must be mapped to an associated kernel thread
- Local Scheduling
 - Contention Scope: Process-Contention Scope (PCS)
 - How the threads library decides which thread to put onto an available kernel thread
- Global Scheduling
 - Contention Scope: System-Contention Scope (SCS)
 - How the kernel decides which kernel thread to run on CPU next



Multiple-Processor Scheduling

- CPU scheduling in a system with multiple CPUs
- A Homogeneous System
 - Processors are identical in terms of their functionality
- A Heterogeneous System
 - Programs must be compiled for instructions on proper processors



Homogeneous Processors

- Asymmetric multiprocessing only one processor accesses the system data structures, alleviating the need for data sharing
- Symmetric multiprocessing (SMP) each processor is self-scheduling, all processes in common ready queue, or each processor has its own private queue of ready processes



Multiple-Processor Scheduling-Processor Affinity

- A process might prefer to run on specific processors
 - Hard affinity: sched_setaffinity()
 - Soft affinity: non-uniform memory access





Multiple-Processor Scheduling-Load Balancing

- Attempt to keep the workload evenly distributed across all processors in an SMP system
- Push migration
 - A specific task periodically checks the load on each processor and evenly distributes the load by moving processes from overloaded to idle or less-busy processors
- Pull migration
 - An idle processor pulls a waiting task from a busy processor



Multicore Processors

- Multicore Processor: A physical chip with multiple processor cores.
- Scheduling Issues:
 - Memory Stall
 - Coarse-Grained Multithreading
 - Thread execution until a long latency
 - Fine-Grained Multithreading
 - Better architecture design for switching
 - \rightarrow Multiple Hardware Threads



Multithreaded Multicore (Hyper-Threading) System



time

© All Rights Reserved, Prof. Che-Wei Chang, Department of Computer Science and Information Engineering, Chang Gung University



Real-Time Scheduling (1/2)

- Each task (process) has to be completed before its deadline
- Soft real-time systems try to serve a real-time task by its deadline
- Hard real-time systems a real-time task must be served by its deadline
- Two types of latencies affect performance
 - 1.Interrupt latency time from arrival of interrupt to start of routine that serves the interrupt
 - 2.Dispatch latency time for schedule to take current process off CPU and switch to another



Real-Time Scheduling (2/2)

event

response to event



© All Rights Reserved, Prof. Che-Wei Chang, Department of Computer Science and Information Engineering, Chang Gung University



Operating System Examples – Linux in Version 2.6.23 + (1/3)

- Completely Fair Scheduler (CFS)
 - CFS scheduler maintains per task virtual run time in variable vruntime
 - Associated with decay factor based on priority of task:
 - lower priority \rightarrow higher decay rate
 - Normal default priority yields virtual run time = actual run time
 - To decide next task to run, scheduler picks task with lowest virtual run time
- Nice Value
 - From -20 to +19
 - Lower value is higher priority



Operating System Examples – Linux in Version 2.6.23 + (2/3)

• A red-back tree is used to maintain the virtual run times of tasks





Operating System Examples – Linux in Version 2.6.23 + (3/3)

- Real-time scheduling according to POSIX
 - Real-time tasks have static priorities
- Real-time plus normal map into global priority scheme
- Nice value of -20 maps to global priority 100
- Nice value of +19 maps to priority 139



Operating System Examples – Windows Scheduling (1/3)

| A Typical Class

		Real- time	High	Above normal	Normal	Below normal	ldle priority
	Time- critical	31	15	15	15	15	15
	Highest	26	15	12	10	8	6
-	Above normal	25	14	11	9	7	5
Base	Normal	24	13	10	8	6	4
ΠΟΠτ	Below normal	23	12	9	7	5	3
	Lowest	22	11	8	6	4	2
	Idle	16	1	1	1	1	1
Real-Time Class Variable Class (115)							

© All Rights Reserved, Prof. Che-Wei Chang, Department of Computer Science and Information Engineering, Chang Gung University

Operating System Examples – Windows Scheduling (2/3)

- Priority-Based Preemptive Scheduling
 - Priority Range: from 0 to 31
 - Variable class uses 1-15
 - Real-time class uses 16-31
 - Dispatcher: A process runs until
 - It is preempted by a higher-priority process
 - It terminates
 - Its time quantum ends
 - It calls a blocking system call
 - Idle thread

A Queue per Priority Level



Operating System Examples – Windows Scheduling (3/3)

- Each thread has a base priority that represents a value in the priority range of its class
- Priority Changing
 - Increased after some waiting
 - Different amount for different I/O devices
 - Decreased after some computation
 - The priority is never lowered below the base priority
- Favor foreground processes
 - Each foreground task is given more time quantum (typically 3 times longer)



Scheduling Algorithm Evaluation

A General Procedure

- Select criteria that may include several measures, e.g., maximize CPU utilization while confining the maximum response time to 1 second
- Evaluate various algorithms
- Evaluation Methods:
 - Deterministic modeling
 - Queuing models
 - Simulation
 - Implementation

Deterministic Modeling

- A Typical Type of Analytic Evaluation
 - Take a particular predetermined workload and defines the performance of each algorithm for that workload
- Properties
 - Simple and fast
 - Through excessive executions of a number of examples, trends might be identified
 - But it needs exact numbers for inputs, and its answers only apply to those cases
 - Being too specific and requires too exact knowledge to be useful





Deterministic Modeling

process

P1

P2

P3

P4

P5

10

29

3

7

12





Queuing Models

Motivation:

- Workloads vary, and there is no static set of processes
- Models (~ Queuing-Network Analysis)
 - Workload:
 - Arrival rate: the distribution of times when processes arrive
 - The distributions of CPU & I/O bursts
 - Service rate



Simulation (1/2)

Motivation:

- Get a more accurate evaluation
- Procedures:
 - Program a model of the computer system
 - Drive the simulation with various data sets
 - Randomly generated according to some probability distributions
 - \rightarrow Inaccuracy occurs because of only the occurrence frequency of events. Miss the order & the relationships of events.
 - Trace tapes: monitor the real system & record the sequence of actual events.





Simulation (2/2)



© All Rights Reserved, Prof. Che-Wei Chang, Department of Computer Science and Information Engineering, Chang Gung University 置模 劳勒 49

Implementation

- Motivation:
 - Get more accurate results than a simulation
- Procedure:
 - Code scheduling algorithms
 - Put them in the OS
 - Evaluate the real behaviors
- Difficulties:
 - Cost in coding algorithms and modifying the OS
 - Reaction of users to a constantly changing the OS
 - The environment in which algorithms are used will change

